

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,712	03/29/2004	L. Pernille Olesen	POULac011	2131
7590 09/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Poulsen Roser Pacific, Inc. 620 South Front Street			HWU, JUNE	
Central Point, OR 97502			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1661	
		DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/812,712	OLESEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		June Hwu	1661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE   - External content of the cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regret or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d. I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	, =:					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinative drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or accepted or accepted or b) objected or acceptance. So oction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been receive Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I				

Application/Control Number: 10/812,712

Art Unit: 1661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Mr. Ken Rynearson does have not authorization to act on behalf of the assignee because of his title as horticulturist of Poulsen Roser A/S. A horticulturist does not clearly set forth that person as an officer of the assignee and is not presumed to have authority to sign the submission on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP 324.

### **Drawings**

An Official Draftsman has approved the drawings.

# Objection to the Disclosure 37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

#### 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

In plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/812,712

Art Unit: 1661

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.163(a) because the specification presents less than a full and complete botanical description and the characteristics which distinguish over related known varieties.

More specifically:

- A. Page 3, line 6, the number "32" regarding the number of petals per flower for the claimed plant contradicts the petal number in Chart 1 and on page 9, line 16 both stating "40" petals. Clarification and correction are necessary.
- B. Page 3, line 8, the recitation "43C" does not correspond to the U.S. Plant Application Publication No. 2003/0066112 P11 of cultivar Poulmax, which states the general tonality of 'Poulmax' as "48C" on paragraph [0050].
- C. If available, Applicants should set forth in the specification the average diameters of the peduncle and petiole.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/812,712

Art Unit: 1661

D. Page 12, line 4, the recitation with regard to the absence of the petal reflex does not appear to correspond with the attached photograph. Fig. 1.1 appears to show some of the outermost petals slightly reflex. Applicants should check for accuracy.

- E. If available, Applicants should set forth in the specification the average length of the styles.
- F. Page 14, line 13, the recitation with regard to the color designation of the thorns, as "Greyed-Purple Group 184A" is unclear. It is uncertain if this coloration is of the immature or mature thorns. Clarification is necessary by importing into the specification the color designation of the immature and mature thorns.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicants should carefully compare the claimed plant with the botanical descriptions set forth in the specification to ensure completeness and accuracy and to distinguish the plant within this expanding market class. Any further botanical information should be imported into the specification, as should any additional or corrected information relative to same.

#### Claim Rejection

#### 35 USC § 112, 1st and 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

## **Future Correspondence**

Application/Control Number: 10/812,712

Art Unit: 1661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to June Hwu whose telephone number is (571) 272-0977. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JH

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG